

Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

Journal of Management Research and Analysis

Journal homepage: <https://www.jmra.in/>

Original Research Article

International double taxation: Interpretation in the Indian context and general mitigation Measures

Vikram Karuna^{1*}

¹Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law, Sidhuwal, Punjab, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 08-01-2024

Accepted 16-02-2024

Available online 04-03-2024

Keywords:

International Double Taxation
Double Taxation Agreements (DTAs)
Indian Jurisprudence
Permanent Establishment
Relief Methods

ABSTRACT

International Double Taxation poses a complex challenge with diverse interpretations, especially in the Indian context. This paper investigates the nuances of double taxation, focusing on legal principles, double taxation avoidance agreements (DTAAs), and their implications for residents and permanent establishments. It analyzes India's engagement in double taxation treaties, considering monistic and dualistic principles, legislative processes, and recent judicial developments. Case studies from French and Indian courts offer insights into treaty interpretation. The paper examines relief methods such as Exemption, Credit, Tax-sparing, and Expense Deduction, emphasizing their application within Indian tax treaties. Notably, Permanent Establishment (PE) significance in international taxation is explored through relevant case law. Conclusively, the paper underscores the importance of addressing international double taxation in the globalized era. It argues that while various relief methods exist, the Exemption method is most effective in mitigating double taxation, contrasting with the partial relief provided by the Credit method. The choice of relief method varies across nations based on financial considerations. This comprehensive exploration contributes to understanding international double taxation in the Indian context, highlighting legal interpretations, treaty dynamics, and mitigation measures. It advocates for harmonizing global approaches to achieve more effective and equitable solutions in international taxation.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

The phenomenon of International Double Taxation has become increasingly pertinent in the contemporary globalized landscape, posing intricate challenges for governments, businesses, and individuals alike. At its core, double taxation refers to the imposition of identical taxes on the same entity or assets by multiple sovereign entities, typically occurring across different jurisdictions. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in the context of cross-border transactions, where individuals or businesses may find themselves subject to taxation in both their home country and the foreign jurisdiction where income or capital

gains are generated.

The complexity of international taxation arises from the divergent interpretations and applications of tax laws and treaties across various jurisdictions. Each nation adopts its own legal framework and tax policies, leading to discrepancies in tax treatment and potential overlaps in tax obligations. Consequently, taxpayers often face challenges in navigating the intricacies of international tax laws, resulting in uncertainties, compliance burdens, and, in some cases, the risk of double taxation.¹⁻⁹

In the Indian context, the issue of international double taxation assumes particular significance due to India's growing integration into the global economy and its extensive network of double taxation avoidance agreements (DTAAs) with numerous countries. As a

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Karunavikram25@gmail.com (V. Karuna).

rapidly developing economy with a burgeoning presence of multinational corporations (MNCs) and cross-border investments, India grapples with the complexities of reconciling its domestic tax laws with international tax norms and treaty obligations.^{10–16}

This paper endeavors to explore the multifaceted landscape of international double taxation from the perspective of Indian jurisprudence, with a specific focus on legal principles, treaty dynamics, and mitigation measures. Through a comprehensive analysis of relevant case law, legislative frameworks, and recent judicial developments, this study seeks to shed light on the interpretation of double taxation in the Indian context and identify strategies for mitigating its adverse effects.

The introduction of the Income Tax Act of 1961 laid the groundwork for India's taxation regime, delineating the principles and provisions governing the taxation of income, including provisions addressing instances of double taxation. However, the increasingly globalized nature of economic activities necessitated the establishment of mechanisms to address double taxation arising from cross-border transactions. In response to this imperative, India embarked on a path of bilateral negotiations and entered into a plethora of DTAAAs with countries across the globe.

India's engagements in double taxation treaties reflect its commitment to fostering international cooperation in tax matters while safeguarding its fiscal interests and promoting economic growth. The negotiation and interpretation of these treaties involve a delicate balance between protecting the rights of taxpayers and ensuring the equitable distribution of tax revenues between contracting states. Moreover, the evolving nature of international tax laws and the dynamic economic landscape necessitate a continuous review and adaptation of India's treaty framework to address emerging challenges and opportunities.

Central to the interpretation of double taxation in the Indian context are the concepts of "residents" and "permanent establishments" (PEs), which play a pivotal role in determining tax liabilities and entitlements under DTAAAs. The definition and characterization of these concepts have significant implications for the allocation of taxing rights between contracting states and the application of relief mechanisms to mitigate double taxation. Through a detailed examination of relevant legal precedents and treaty provisions, this paper aims to elucidate the nuances of these concepts and their practical implications for taxpayers operating in India.

Furthermore, this study delves into the various relief methods available for addressing international double taxation, including the exemption method, credit method, tax-sparing method, and expense deduction. Each of these methods offers distinct approaches to alleviate the burden of double taxation, with varying degrees of effectiveness and applicability in different contexts. By analyzing the

strengths and limitations of these relief mechanisms, this paper seeks to provide insights into the optimal strategies for mitigating double taxation risks and maximizing tax efficiency in cross-border transactions involving India.

In conclusion, the issue of international double taxation poses complex challenges for taxpayers, tax authorities, and policymakers worldwide. In the Indian context, the interpretation of double taxation and the efficacy of mitigation measures are of paramount importance given the country's growing prominence in the global economy. Through a nuanced analysis of legal principles, treaty dynamics, and relief mechanisms, this paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of international double taxation in the Indian context and provide practical insights for navigating this intricate terrain.

2. Materials and Methods

The analysis presented in this paper is based on a comprehensive review of primary and secondary sources, including legal texts, tax treaties, case law, scholarly articles, and reports from international organizations. Primary sources include the Income Tax Act of 1961 and double taxation avoidance agreements signed by India with other countries, which serve as the legal foundation for international taxation in India. Secondary sources encompass academic literature, legal databases, and reports from organizations such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the United Nations (UN), providing insights into international tax policy, best practices, and emerging trends.

The methodology employed in this paper involves a qualitative analysis of relevant legal provisions, judicial decisions, and scholarly perspectives on international double taxation, with a focus on the Indian context. Case studies and examples drawn from Indian and foreign jurisdictions are utilized to illustrate key concepts and elucidate practical implications. By triangulating information from multiple sources and employing a multidisciplinary approach, this paper seeks to provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the issues surrounding international double taxation and its interpretation in the Indian context.

3. Results

The investigation into the interpretation of international double taxation in the Indian context and the exploration of mitigation measures have yielded several key findings. Through a comprehensive analysis of legal principles, treaty dynamics, case law, and relief methods, this study offers insights into the complexities of double taxation and identifies strategies for addressing its adverse effects.

3.1. Interpretation of double taxation

The analysis reveals that the interpretation of double taxation in the Indian context is influenced by a multitude of factors, including legal principles, treaty provisions, and judicial precedents. The Income Tax Act of 1961 forms the foundational framework for India's taxation regime, delineating the principles governing the taxation of income and capital gains. However, the interpretation and application of tax laws are subject to judicial scrutiny, leading to diverse interpretations and occasional inconsistencies in court rulings.

The study finds that the concept of residency plays a pivotal role in determining tax liabilities and entitlements under double taxation avoidance agreements (DTAAs). The definition of "resident" varies across jurisdictions and can have significant implications for the allocation of taxing rights between contracting states. Similarly, the characterization of "permanent establishments" (PEs) is crucial in delineating the scope of taxable income attributable to a foreign entity operating in India.

3.2. Treaty dynamics

India's engagement in double taxation treaties reflects its commitment to fostering international cooperation in tax matters while safeguarding its fiscal interests. The analysis of India's treaty framework reveals a complex web of bilateral agreements with over 85 countries, each with its own set of provisions governing the taxation of cross-border income. These treaties aim to prevent or mitigate double taxation by allocating taxing rights between contracting states and providing relief mechanisms for taxpayers.

The study finds that India's treaty engagements are guided by both monistic and dualistic principles, with domestic laws being incorporated into international obligations through legislative processes. The interpretation of treaty provisions is influenced by international models, such as those developed by the United Nations and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), as well as by judicial decisions and administrative guidelines issued by tax authorities.

3.3. Relief methods

A critical aspect of addressing international double taxation is the implementation of relief methods, including exemption, credit, tax-sparing, and expense deduction approaches. The analysis reveals that each relief method offers distinct advantages and limitations, depending on the specific circumstances of taxpayers and the nature of their cross-border transactions.

The study finds that the exemption method emerges as the most efficacious in averting or eradicating double taxation, as it grants specific income items generated by residents in a foreign state exemption in the originating

state. This method provides certainty to taxpayers and promotes investment by eliminating the risk of double taxation on foreign-source income. However, the study notes that exemptions are typically constrained by statutory or treaty provisions, limiting their applicability to certain income categories.

In contrast, the credit method offers relief by allowing taxpayers to offset taxes paid in a foreign jurisdiction against their domestic tax liabilities. While the credit method partially mitigates double taxation, it may result in administrative complexities and compliance burdens for taxpayers. The study emphasizes the importance of carefully assessing the applicability of relief methods based on the specific circumstances of taxpayers and the provisions of relevant tax treaties.

3.4. Practical implications

The findings of this study have practical implications for taxpayers, tax authorities, and policymakers in navigating the complexities of international double taxation. By elucidating the interpretation of double taxation, analyzing treaty dynamics, and evaluating relief methods, this study provides valuable insights into strategies for mitigating double taxation risks and maximizing tax efficiency in cross-border transactions involving India.

Overall, the results of this study underscore the importance of harmonizing global approaches to achieve more effective and equitable solutions in the realm of international taxation. By promoting greater clarity, consistency, and transparency in tax laws and treaty provisions, policymakers can enhance the certainty and predictability of the tax environment, thereby facilitating cross-border trade and investment and fostering economic growth.

In conclusion, this paper provides a comprehensive analysis of international double taxation in the Indian context. We find that while double taxation remains a complex and challenging issue, there are opportunities for mitigating its impact through careful interpretation of tax treaties and the implementation of relief methods. The choice of relief method ultimately depends on a variety of factors, including the specific circumstances of the case and the preferences of the countries involved.

Moving forward, greater international cooperation will be essential for addressing the challenges posed by double taxation and promoting cross-border investment and economic growth. By harmonizing tax policies and streamlining administrative procedures, countries can create a more conducive environment for international trade and investment. This paper contributes to the ongoing dialogue surrounding international taxation and offers insights into potential paths forward for policymakers, tax professionals, and businesses operating in today's globalized economy.

4. Discussion

The discussion delves into the multifaceted aspects of international double taxation within the Indian context, revealing a complex interplay of legal frameworks, treaty dynamics, and relief methods. The interpretation of double taxation is shown to hinge on various factors, including residency rules and definitions of permanent establishments. This complexity underscores the challenges faced by taxpayers and tax authorities in navigating cross-border transactions and highlights the importance of clarity and consistency in treaty provisions.

India's extensive network of double taxation avoidance agreements (DTAAs) reflects its commitment to international cooperation in tax matters. However, discrepancies and interpretative challenges persist, necessitating ongoing dialogue and collaboration among treaty partners. The study underscores the need for harmonization of global tax standards to enhance the certainty and predictability of the tax environment, thereby promoting cross-border trade and investment.

In addressing double taxation, relief methods such as exemption, credit, tax-sparing, and expense deduction approaches offer varying degrees of efficacy. While the exemption method emerges as a potent tool for mitigating double taxation, careful consideration of treaty provisions and policy objectives is essential in selecting the most suitable relief method. Overall, the discussion emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach to international tax policy, one that balances the interests of taxpayers and tax authorities while fostering economic growth and development.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities inherent in addressing international double taxation in the Indian context. The analysis underscores the significance of legal principles, treaty dynamics, and relief methods in shaping tax outcomes for individuals and businesses engaged in cross-border transactions.

Despite the complexities involved, the study highlights the potential for enhanced cooperation and collaboration among stakeholders to harmonize global tax standards and promote cross-border trade and investment. By promoting greater clarity, consistency, and transparency in tax laws and treaty provisions, policymakers can create a more conducive environment for economic growth and development.

Moving forward, ongoing dialogue and engagement among treaty partners will be essential to address emerging tax issues and adapt to evolving global trends effectively. By adopting a collaborative approach to international tax policy, stakeholders can work towards achieving more equitable and efficient solutions to the challenges of international double taxation, thereby fostering greater

prosperity and economic resilience.

6. Source of Funding

None.

7. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Rohatgi R. Basic International Taxation; 2018. Available from: <https://www.africataxjournal.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Basic-International-Taxation-Vol-I-by-Roy-Rohatgi-eBook.pdf>.
2. Section 90, 90A, and 91 of Income Tax Act; 1961. Available from: <https://cleartax.in/s/section-90-90a-91-of-income-tax-act>.
3. Indian Constitution, Article 51. Available from: [https://unacademy.com/content/nda/study-material/general-knowledge/article-51-of-the-indian-constitution/#:~:text=Article%2051%20of%20the%20Indian%20Constitution%20serves%20as%20a%20beacon,of%20the%20entire%20human%20species.&text=\(a\)%20promote%20international%20peace%20and,and%20honourable%20relations%20between%20nations..](https://unacademy.com/content/nda/study-material/general-knowledge/article-51-of-the-indian-constitution/#:~:text=Article%2051%20of%20the%20Indian%20Constitution%20serves%20as%20a%20beacon,of%20the%20entire%20human%20species.&text=(a)%20promote%20international%20peace%20and,and%20honourable%20relations%20between%20nations..)
4. Union of India and Anr. vs Azadi Bachao Andolan and Anr. (2003) SC 56 ITR 563.; 2003. Available from: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1960330/>.
5. Preamble of the UN Model Double Taxation Convention. Available from: https://www.un.org/esa/ffd/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/MDT_2017.pdf.
6. Preamble of the UN Model Double Taxation Convention. Available from: https://www.un.org/esa/ffd/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/MDT_2017.pdf.
7. France: Supreme Court clarifies company residence relating to DTT benefits. Available from: <https://www.internationaltaxreview.com/article/b1xhsq8ntywds/france-supreme-court-clarifies-company-residence-relating-to-dtt-benefits>.
8. Société Observatoire d'économie appliquée. Case No. 443018. Available from: <https://www.internationaltaxreview.com/article/b1xhsq8ntywds/france-supreme-court-clarifies-company-residence-relating-to-dtt-benefits>].
9. Formula One World Championship vs CIT. Civil Appeal No. 3849 of; 2017. Available from: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/23544710/>.
10. Samsung Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. v. ADIT [2011]13 taxmann.com 14 (ITAT Delhi). Available from: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/70402071/>.
11. Uva E. Assessing the Effectiveness of Double Taxation Treaties in Harmonizing the Rules Relating to the Jurisdiction to Tax Cross Border Income and Promoting an Equitable International Tax Regime; 2019. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3668195.
12. Union of India vs UAE Exchange Centre. Civil Appeal No. 9775 of; 2011. Available from: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/175416089/>.
13. Lang M. Introduction to Law of Double Taxation Conventions; 2010. p. 268. Available from: <https://www.ibfd.org/shop/introduction-law-double-taxation-conventions-3rd-edition>.
14. Viherkentta T. Tax Incentives in Developing Countries and International Taxation; 1991.
15. Analytical And Historical Review of International Double Taxation And Tax Evasion And Avoidance; 2006. Available from: <https://www.un.org/esa/ffd/wp-content/uploads/>.
16. Rohatgi R. Basic International Taxation; 2018.

Author biography

Vikram Karuna, Assistant Professor of Law

Cite this article: Karuna V. International double taxation: Interpretation in the Indian context and general mitigation Measures. *J Manag Res Anal* 2024;11(1):41-45.