

Perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST

Sanjay Nandal¹, Diksha^{2,*}

¹Assistant Professor, ²Research Scholar, Institute of Management Studies and Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

***Corresponding Author:**

Email: dikshakhera4@gmail.com

Abstract

GST is a value-based indirect tax which levied on the value added by the supplier. GST has been introduced in more than 160 countries and France was the first to introduce in 1954. In India GST is implemented from 1st July 2017 with motto of “one nation, one market and one tax”. In Indian taxation history, the introduction of GST brought the revolutionary changes which subsumed all the multiple indirect taxes under a single umbrella. The purpose of present study is to investigate the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST, their satisfaction level from GST’s implementation and significant differences between their perceptions and satisfaction. This study has conducted particularly in three regions i.e. Rohtak, Gurgaon and Faridabad of Haryana state and total 200 respondents were selected on the random sampling basis and t-test was used. The results of the study conclude that 73% of respondents are satisfied from GST’s implementation. This study suggests for successful implementation of GST, government should organize the awareness and training programs to increase the GST knowledge, reduce the technical error of GSTN, expand the digital infrastructure, properly check whether the benefits of reduced cascading effect is passing to end customer or not and reduce the return filing formalities.

Keywords: GST (Goods and Services tax), VAT (Value added tax), CGST (central Goods and services tax), GSTN (goods and services tax network), ITC (Input Tax Credit).

Introduction

GST (Goods and Services tax) is a value-based tax which levied on the value added by the supplier at the time of supply of goods or services (Padmashree, 2010). In India, GST is implemented w.e.f. 1st July 2017 with the motive of transforming the Indian economy as a “one nation, one market and one tax”. In Indian taxation history, the implementation of GST brought the revolutionary changes because this broad-based comprehensive tax subsumed all those indirect taxes or surcharges which were levied on the supply of goods or services before the 1st July 2017 in a single customized umbrella (Aggarwal, 2017, pp 1). This eliminates the multiple indirect taxes or surcharges like Central sales tax, VAT, services tax, central excise duty, purchase tax, luxury tax etc. Our Honorable Prime Minister Narendra Modi recognized the GST not only as Goods and Services tax but also as a “Good and simple tax”. GST is a destination-based consumption tax which will be collected by the state government of that particular state where the goods or services are actually consumed. In India the dual model of GST is adopted where the both central and state government had the power to levy the GST. This dual model of GST is also followed in Brazil and Canadian economy. GST is like a substitute of VAT because both are charged on the value added by the supplier (Kumar & Ashwini 2017). But the GST is the further improvement of VAT because in VAT framework, different tax rates were charged in the different states at the same goods which complicates the doing business in different states and in GST framework there is same tax rates charge across the all states of nation. The introduction of GST

transformed the India as a common economic market and ease the doing business in India where is no any barrier on the movement of goods or factors of production in different states in the form of entry tax, octroi, purchase tax, luxury tax etc.

The GST framework made on the three principles:

1. Welfare of the poor people
2. Neutrality of government revenue
3. No excessive burden on a single sector.

In GST framework, CGST, SGST, IGST is levy.

In GST framework, the tax credit is allowed on the input tax paid at each stage of value-chain starting from the manufacturer level to the retailer level thereby taxing only the value added by the supplier. The tax credit of GST paid on the purchase of input may be use against the GST payable on the supply of goods or services. The availability of input tax credit mechanism in GST framework eliminated the cascading effect of taxation/double taxation. Thus customers pay only the GST charge by the last supplier in value-chain through setting off the benefits of tax paid in previous stage of value-chain. This will help to reduce the prices of goods or services. Let’s take one example to show how the prices will reduce under the GST regime:




Fig. 1: Structure of goods and services tax

Table 1: Prices before and after GST

(A) Manufacturer to Wholesaler	Without GST (Rs)	With GST (Rs)
Cost of productions	5000	5000
+ Profit	2000	2000
Manufacture Price	7000	7000
+ Excise duty 12%	$7000 \times 12\% = 840$	—
Total (a)	7840	7000
VAT 6% (b)	$7840 \times 6\% = 470.40$	—
GST 18% (c)		
(1) CGST 9%	—	(1) $7000 \times 9\% = 630$
(2) SGST 9%	—	(2) $7000 \times 9\% = 630$
Invoice Value (a +b+ c)	8310.40	8260
(B) Wholesaler to Retailer		
Cost of goods to wholesaler	7840 (due to the ITC of VAT from the government)	7000 (due to the ITC of CGST and SGST)
+ Profit 10%	784	700
Total value (a)	8624	7700
+ VAT 6%(b)	$8624 \times 6\% = 517.44$	—
+ GST 18% (c)		
(1) CGST 9%	—	(1) $7700 \times 9\% = 693$
(2) SGST 9%	—	(2) $7700 \times 9\% = 693$
Invoice Value (a +b+ c)	9141.44	9086
(C) Retailer to consumer		
Cost of goods to retailer	8624 (due to the ITC of VAT from the government)	7700 (due to the ITC of CGST and SGST from the government)
+Profit 10%	862.4	770
Total Value (a)	9486.40	8470
+VAT 6% (b)	$9486.40 \times 6\% = 569.184$	—
+ GST 18% (c)		
(1) CGST 9%	—	(1) $8470 \times 9\% = 762.3$
(2) SGST 9%	—	(2) $8470 \times 9\% = 762.3$
Total Price to consumer (a +b+ c)	10055.584	9994.6

Price reduced in GST regime = $10055.584 - 9994.6 = \text{Rs } 60.984$

This price reduction will benefit the final consumer and they will only pay the tax charge by last supplier of

the value-chain. The total input tax credit available to the different suppliers

Table 2: ITC available before and after GST

Input Tax credit (ITC) available to:	Without GST	With GST
Wholesaler	Rs 470.40	Rs 1260
Retailer	Rs 517.44	Rs 1386

Table 1 and Table 2 show how the prices reduced and ITC increased after the GST's implementation. The implementation of GST has impacted almost each and every sector of economy but the objective of present article is to investigate the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST and their satisfaction from GST's implementation.

Review of Literature

Breen, Bergin-Seers, Roberts & Sims (2002) this study examines the impact of the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on small business in Australia in the context of the experiences faced in similar countries overseas. Using a case study methodology, data was gathered from six small businesses that were observed throughout the introductory period of the new tax system. In particular, this article considers the costs for small businesses in complying with the new tax system. Businesses reported actual GST compliance costs ranging from \$3,331 to \$30,140 per business in the cases examined. For the two smallest businesses, their compliance costs amounted to over 3% of the firm's reported annual turnover. The study also identified significant changes in on-going record keeping and accounting costs that are required by small businesses in order to meet their GST obligations. These findings indicate that governments need to be more aware of the impact of tax reforms on small businesses if they wish to implement changes with minimal adverse impacts on business operations. The study revealed that four of the six businesses reported cash flow problems that they believed were caused in some way by the GST. Two of them partly blamed slower conditions in their industry. Their debtors were slow in paying, causing the businesses to increase their overdraft. Business operators lacked the time and energy to seek new business opportunities.

Spiro, P. (1993) wrote about the Post-GST increase in underground economy in Canada since the introduction of GST in 1991 on the basis of experiences of other developing countries. He states that there is maximum likelihood that at margin switching from the narrow-based federal manufacturers' sales tax to broad-based GST may have increase the incentives and opportunities for tax evasion. He states that evasion of VAT or GST is the significant problem at the retail level. He analyzed the growth in cash balance held by public and change in transactions volume which provided the avenues for estimating the substantial

increase in underground economy in Canada since introduction of GST. He said the GST would not increase the tax reporting because the enterprises already demanded the tax invoice from its suppliers for income tax documentation purpose. He stated that the GST will push the tolerance level of taxpayer who already annoyed with high rates of corporate tax, to the point where they will avoid it in large retailer level because government avoids in most of the cases to administer the tax compliance of small level retailer due to the high cost in compare of benefits. Retailers claim their whole input tax credit against the portion of sale that they do report, and they do not lose any input tax credit through GST evasion.

Rametse, N. & Pope, J. (2012) analyzed the economic impact of GST on small businesses in Western Australia, particularly their start-up compliance costs such as cost of equipment, professional accounting and IT consultancy fees, training course fees and time costs. The conclusion of study states that it was costly for small businesses in preparing to comply with the GST requirements but if the size of businesses increases, GST startup costs decrease as a percentage of turnover. They also states that small businesses management and efficiency may improve as a result of investment in IT, better accounting record keeping from GST implementation.

Huang & Liu (2009) analyzed the impact of GST on banking mortgage costs in Australia after the GST implementation. The key findings of this study are that the introduction of the GST in July 2000 has contributed to significant rises in mortgage costs charged by banks in the post-GST periods on the basis of t-test and multivariate regression results

Aggarwal, K. (2017) analyzed the perception of business and salaried people about GST in Agra region. The responses of people collected by the use of Likert-scale and people were selected on the basis of judgement sampling and data analysis tools of mean and one sample T-test used to analyze the perceptual data. The author concluded on the basis of significant perceptions of respondents that GST has increased the legal compliances, increased the tax burden on businesses, badly impacting the small businesses, GST will increase the inflation (prices) in the country, GST is a very good tax reform for India, GST is beneficial in long-term and GST will increase the tax collection of the government

Barhate (2017) found that people have no doubt whatsoever regarding the proposed benefits of GST

irrespective of their business type, legal status of business for the reason being they feel irritated by the present system which appears to be cumbersome. Most respondents believe that GST will bring monetary gains to their business, uniformity of tax rates will decrease the material costs, check the tax evasion, improve the refund procedure and accounting procedure, improve the transparency in tax system, evade the cascading effect of taxation and do not anticipate any significant boost in tax compliance costs. Interestingly, respondents expect the spending on tax compliance to go down after GST is implemented. The lack of information coupled with the apathy towards reforms may paralyze the speedy implementation of this system especially in small towns where still not a single orientation programs have been planned and executed till date by competent authorities.

Zainol & Soon (2016). This study investigated the opportunities and challenges faced by the Malaysian SMEs with the introduction of GST and found the significantly increase in compliance cost and record maintenance and tax collection work become so tedious as well as expensive.

Chouhan (2017). The author investigated the awareness level of small business owners about GST in Rajasthan state and difficulties they faced from GST's implementation. The study concluded that there is lack of awareness about GST and its rules.

Materials and Methods

The present research is exploratory in nature. Since GST is new phenomenon in India and few studies had conducted on the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST after its implementation.

Objectives of the study

1. To study the perceptions of the traders and manufacturers in Rohtak, Gurgaon and Faridabad regions of Haryana state towards GST.
2. To study the satisfaction level of traders and manufacturers in Rohtak, Gurgaon and Faridabad regions of Haryana state from newly implemented tax regime.

Sample Design: This study has been conducted particularly in Rohtak, Gurgaon and Faridabad regions of Haryana state and total 200 respondents were selected for sample size on the random sampling basis. The data was collected from the primary sources of field survey of traders and manufacturers.

Method of Data Collection: To collect the responses, a structured questionnaire was used. The responses were collected from respondents using the 5-point Likert

scale from strongly disagree (1 point) to strongly agree (5 point).

Research Tool: The data analysis tool of mean statistic to study the perception of traders and manufacturers towards GST and their satisfaction from GST, independent sample T-test to investigate the significant difference between their perceptions and satisfaction were used. Before applying the T-test, reliability analysis had been done for internal consistency of scale. The cronbach's alpha coefficient of construct "GST's benefits" was .956 and of construct "GST's challenges" was .760 which is acceptable before applying any research tool.

Hypotheses

1. **H1:** There is significance difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST.
2. **H2:** There is significant difference between satisfaction of Traders and manufacturers from GST's implementation.

Analysis

This section involves three sub-sections one for nature of the business, second for perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST and significant differences between perceptions and third for satisfaction of traders and manufacturers from GST's implementation and significant differences between satisfaction.

Nature of the business

Table 3: Nature of the business

Nature of the business	No of firms	Percentage
Manufacturing	96	48%
Trading	104	52%
Total	200	100%

Source: field survey

After the data analysis it was found that 48% of respondents were manufacturers and 52% of respondents were traders and concluded that the trading firms were more than the manufacturing firms in the study area on the basis of results of data analysis.

Perceptions of Traders and Manufacturers towards GST

GST: This section presents the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST and the significant differences between their perceptions.

Table 4: Perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST

Statements	Overall Mean N=200
GST has reduced the cascading effect of taxation	4.0800
GST has reduced the time in delivery of goods	3.7200

GST will check the tax evasion	3.2800
GST has ease the doing business in India	3.3300
GST has reduced the material costs	2.6650
GST's implementation will expand the market of your business	3.8900
GST will boost the mission of "Make in India" campaign	4.0950
GST facilitates the ease in compliance in compare of previous indirect tax regime	2.6900
GST improved the transparency in taxation system and prices	3.0600
GST transformed the Indian economy as a common economic market	4.2500
GST will give the managerial benefits due to proper accounting record maintenance for legal compliances	4.1450
GST will give the benefits in long run	4.3850
GST will broaden the tax base	3.5700
GST will improve the exports of the country	3.6450
GST is complex to understand	4.5150
GST has increased the inflation in country	3.7700
GST has increased the tax burden on the businessman	4.1200
GST has increased the compliance costs	4.2450
In GST return filing formalities is too tedious	4.4450
GST has increased the accounting record maintenance work	4.6382
GST has increased the investment in working capital	4.0650
The motto of GST "one nation and one tax" is only a illusion	4.4400
GSTN has too many technical problems and further difficult the return filing and getting ITC on proper timing	4.5100
GST is affecting the business very badly	2.8200
GST will be helpful in overall development of economy	4.1800
GST has improved the profitability of your business	2.5950
overall satisfaction from GST	3.6450

Source: field survey

Table 4 presents the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST and most important point come out after the analysis that GST has increased the accounting record maintenance work with mean value of 4.63 and followed by GST is complex to understand with mean value of 4.51, GSTN has too many technical problems with mean value of 4.51 and in GST return filing formalities is too tedious with mean value of 4.445. The other important fact of perceptions towards GST which has come out after analysis that GST will be beneficial in long run with mean value of 4.38 and

GST has transformed the Indian economy in a common market with mean value of 4.25.

To check the significant differences between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers the following null and alternate hypothesis was developed

H₀: There is no significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST

H₁: There is significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST

The significance of developed hypothesis was tested with the help of independent sample t-test⁷

Table 5: Independent samples t test

Perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	T	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
S1: GST has reduced the cascading effect of taxation	Equal variances assumed	3.031	.083	-.874	198	.383	-.13381	.15315	-.43582	.16820
	Equal variances not assumed			-.867	184.957	.387	-.13381	.15430	-.43823	.17060
S2: GST has reduced the time	Equal variances assumed	10.208	.002	.651	198	.516	.11779	.18095	-.23904	.47462

in delivery of goods	Equal variances not assumed			.655	196.884	.513	.11779	.17982	-.23684	.47242
S3: GST will check the tax evasion	Equal variances assumed	14.283	.000	-.833	198	.406	-.11779	.14140	-.39663	.16106
	Equal variances not assumed			-.825	180.142	.410	-.11779	.14275	-.39947	.16390
S4: GST has ease the doing business in India	Equal variances assumed	.004	.949	.951	198	.343	.14663	.15424	-.15753	.45080
	Equal variances not assumed			.947	191.477	.345	.14663	.15489	-.15888	.45215
S5: GST has reduced the material costs	Equal variances assumed	9.768	.002	-2.658	198	.009	-.47756	.17970	-.83193	-.12320
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.638	184.824	.009	-.47756	.18106	-.83478	-.12035
S6: GST's implementation will expand the market of your business	Equal variances assumed	.283	.595	-3.129	198	.002	-.46955	.15006	-.76547	-.17363
	Equal variances not assumed			-3.128	196.375	.002	-.46955	.15012	-.76561	-.17349
S7: GST will boost the mission of "Make in India" campaign	Equal variances assumed	2.214	.138	-2.038	198	.043	-.24279	.11912	-.47770	-.00788
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.043	197.939	.042	-.24279	.11882	-.47710	-.00847
S8: GST facilitates the ease in compliance in compare of previous indirect tax regime	Equal variances assumed	.002	.961	-3.433	198	.001	-.70593	.20562	-	-3.0044
	Equal variances not assumed			-3.434	196.945	.001	-.70593	.20556	-	-3.0055
S9: GST improved the transparency in taxation system and prices	Equal variances assumed	3.832	.052	-.190	198	.849	-.03526	.18525	-.40058	.33007
	Equal variances not assumed			-.189	186.378	.850	-.03526	.18653	-.40324	.33272
S10: GST transformed the Indian economy as a common economic market	Equal variances assumed	.000	.998	.534	198	.594	.06010	.11260	-.16195	.28215
	Equal variances not assumed			.534	197.064	.594	.06010	.11255	-.16186	.28205
S11: GST will give the managerial benefits due to proper accounting record maintenance for legal compliances	Equal variances assumed	31.731	.000	-1.623	198	.106	-.25881	.15950	-.57335	.05572
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.592	152.918	.113	-.25881	.16255	-.57995	.06232
S12: GST will give the benefits in long run	Equal variances assumed	5.755	.017	-.357	198	.721	-.03926	.10985	-.25589	.17737
	Equal variances not assumed			-.355	188.064	.723	-.03926	.11052	-.25728	.17875
S13: GST will broaden the tax base	Equal variances assumed	3.543	.061	2.172	198	.031	.30609	.14094	.02815	.58403
	Equal variances not assumed			2.150	178.788	.033	.30609	.14236	.02516	.58702
S14: GST will improve the	Equal variances assumed	1.194	.276	-1.767	198	.079	-.13862	.07845	-.29333	.01609

exports of the country	Equal variances not assumed			-1.766	196.397	.079	-.13862	.07848	-.29340	.01616
S15: GST is complex to understand	Equal variances assumed	5.270	.023	-.293	198	.770	-.02885	.09851	-.22310	.16541
	Equal variances not assumed			-.289	165.305	.773	-.02885	.09998	-.22626	.16856
S16: GST has increased the inflation in country	Equal variances assumed	1.821	.179	.141	198	.888	.02163	.15301	-.28010	.32337
	Equal variances not assumed			.142	197.971	.887	.02163	.15244	-.27898	.32225
S17: GST has increased the tax burden on the businessman	Equal variances assumed	3.848	.051	-1.248	198	.214	-.13061	.10466	-.33700	.07578
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.253	197.946	.212	-.13061	.10425	-.33620	.07498
S18: GST has increased the compliance costs	Equal variances assumed	1.226	.270	-2.234	198	.027	-.27083	.12126	-.50996	-.03171
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.225	191.838	.027	-.27083	.12174	-.51096	-.03071
S19: In GST return filing formalities is too tedious	Equal variances assumed	.031	.860	.423	198	.673	.04567	.10800	-.16731	.25865
	Equal variances not assumed			.422	193.079	.674	.04567	.10835	-.16802	.25937
S20: GST has increased the accounting record maintenance work	Equal variances assumed	.315	.575	.432	198	.666	.04087	.09450	-.14549	.22722
	Equal variances not assumed			.433	197.928	.665	.04087	.09427	-.14504	.22677
S21: GST has increased the investment in working capital	Equal variances assumed	5.524	.020	3.003	198	.003	.41587	.13847	.14280	.68893
	Equal variances not assumed			3.039	189.079	.003	.41587	.13684	.14593	.68580
S22: The motto of GST "one nation and one tax" is only a illusion	Equal variances assumed	3.694	.056	-.301	198	.764	-.02484	.08248	-.18750	.13782
	Equal variances not assumed			-.298	176.493	.766	-.02484	.08339	-.18941	.13973
S23: GSTN has too many technical problems and further difficult the return filing and getting ITC on proper timing	Equal variances assumed	2.885	.091	1.318	198	.189	.12099	.09177	-.05999	.30197
	Equal variances not assumed			1.324	197.850	.187	.12099	.09138	-.05921	.30119
S24: GST is affecting the business very badly	Equal variances assumed	33.186	.000	3.835	198	.000	.62660	.16341	.30436	.94884
	Equal variances not assumed			3.785	170.614	.000	.62660	.16555	.29981	.95340
S25: GST will be helpful in overall development of economy	Equal variances assumed	.470	.494	.288	198	.774	.03446	.11963	-.20146	.27037
	Equal variances not assumed			.288	197.633	.773	.03446	.11945	-.20111	.27002
S26: GST has improved the profitability of your business	Equal variances assumed	25.653	.000	.681	198	.497	.11779	.17291	-.22319	.45876
	Equal variances not assumed			.676	183.644	.500	.11779	.17431	-.22612	.46169

Source: field survey

After performing the independent sample T-test for checking the significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards these

26 variables, it is found from table 5 there is significant difference in perceptions of traders and manufacturers only for 8 variables i.e. GST has reduced the material cost ($t = -2.638$ and $p = .009$), GST will expand the

market of business ($t=-3.129$ and $p=.002$), GST will boost the mission of “Make in India” campaign ($t=-2.038$ and $p=.043$), GST facilitates the ease in compliance in compare of previous indirect tax regime ($t=-3.433$ and $p=.001$), GST will broaden the tax base ($t=2.172$ and $p=.031$), GST has increased the compliance cost ($t=-2.234$ and $p=.027$), GST has increased the investment in working capital ($t=3.039$ and $p=.003$) and GST is affecting business very badly ($t=3.785$ and $p=.000$), hence for these 8 variables the null hypothesis viz-there is no significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST is rejected.

For the rest of the 18 variables it is found from the table 5 that there is no any significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST has reduced the cascading effect of taxation ($t=-.874$ and $p=.383$), GST reduced the time in delivery of goods ($t=-.655$ and $p=.513$), GST will check tax evasion ($t=-.825$ and $p=.410$), GST has ease the doing business in India ($t=.947$ and $p=.345$), GST has improved the transparency in taxation system and prices ($t=-.190$ and $p=.849$), GST transformed the Indian economy as a common economic market ($t=.534$ and $p=.594$), GST will give managerial benefits due to proper accounting records maintenance for legal compliances ($t=-1.592$ and $p=.113$), GST will give benefits in long run ($t=-.355$ and $p=.723$), GST will improve the exports of the country ($t=-1.769$ and $p=0.079$), GST is complex to understand ($t=-.289$ and $p=.773$), GST has increased the inflation in country ($t=.141$ and $p=.888$), GST has increased the tax burden on businessmen ($t=-1.248$ and $p=.214$), In GST return

filing formalities is too tedious ($t=.423$ and $p=.673$), GST has increased the accounting record maintenance work ($t=.433$ and $p=.665$), the motto of GST “one nation and one tax” is only a illusion ($t=-.301$ and $p=.764$), GSTN has too many technical problems and further difficult the return filing and getting ITC on proper timing ($t=1.318$ and $p=.189$), GST will be helpful in overall development of economy ($t=.288$ and $p=.774$) and GST has improved the profitability of your business ($t=.676$ and $p=.50$), hence for these 18 variable the null hypothesis viz-There is no significant difference between the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST is not rejected.

Satisfaction of Traders and Manufacturers from GST’s Implementation: This section explains the satisfaction of traders and manufacturers from GST’s implementation and as table 4 shows the overall mean score of satisfaction for traders and manufacturers i.e. 3.645, it means 73% of respondents are satisfied from GST’s implementation. To check the statistical significant difference between the satisfaction score of traders and manufacturers for GST’s implementation the following Hypothesis is developed:

Ho: There is no significant difference between the satisfaction of traders and manufacturers from GST.

Ha: There is significant difference between the satisfaction of traders and manufacturers form GST.

To check the significance of developed hypothesis, the independent sample t-test was conducted.

Table 6: Group statistics

	Nature of business	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
overall satisfaction from GST	Manufacturing	96	3.5938	.80234	.08189
	Trading	104	3.6923	.73837	.07240

Source: field survey

Table 7: Independent samples test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
overall satisfaction from GST	Equal variances assumed	1.576	.211	-.905	198	.367	-.09856	.10894	-.31339	.11628
	Equal variances not assumed			-.902	192.873	.368	-.09856	.10931	-.31415	.11703

Source: field survey

As table 7 shows that there is no any significant difference between the satisfaction of traders and manufacturers from GST’s implementation ($t=-.905$ and $p=.367$), hence the null hypothesis viz-There is no

significant difference between the satisfaction of traders and manufacturers from GST’s implementation is not rejected.

This result shows that both group of traders and manufacturers from GST's implementation are satisfied.

Conclusion

The present study is an attempt to investigate the perceptions of traders and manufacturers towards GST, their satisfaction from GST's implementation and the significant differences between their perceptions and satisfaction. It has been found in this study most of the respondents were agree with the proposed benefits of GST such as it will benefit in long run, it has transformed the Indian economy as a common economic market, it will give managerial benefits due to proper accounting record maintenance for legal compliances, it will boost the "Make in India" campaign, it has reduced the cascading effect of taxation, it will expand the market of business, it reduced the time in delivery of goods, it will broaden the tax base, it will improve the exports of country, it will ease the doing business in India, it will check the tax evasion, it will improve the transparency in taxation system and prices and it will be helpful in overall development of economy. But the respondents were also agree that GST has various challenges like it is very complex to understand, GSTN has too many technical error, tedious return filing formalities, increased accounting maintenance work, increased compliance costs, motto of "one nation and one tax" is only a illusion (Singhvi, S. 2017), increased inflation, increased investment in working capital and increased the tax burden on businessmen. This study suggests that government should make training and awareness program to make the people more understandable about GST, improve the digital infrastructure in economy, reduce the return filing formalities, bring all the goods and services in one tax structure which remain to come such as petrol, diesel and alcohol, to check the tax evasion charge the heavy penalties from tax evaders and try to reduce the tax burden on small businesses.

References

1. Barhate, G. H. An Analytical Study of Awareness and Perception towards GST amongst Traders in Rural Areas. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 2017;4(5):1133-1142.
2. Breen, J., Bergin-Seers, S., Roberts, I. & Sims, R. The impact of introduction of GST on small businesses in Australia. *Asian review of accounting*. 2002;10(1):89-104.
3. Huang, A. & Liu, B. (2009). The Goods and Services tax (GST) and Bank Mortgage Costs: Empirical Evidence. Griffith Business School Discussion paper finance No 2009-2014
4. Kumar Aggarwal, M. People perception about GST: Empirical study. *Kaav International journal of economics, commerce and Business management*. 2017;4(3):1-6.
5. Mohamad Ali Roshidi Ahmad, Z. I. (2016). Awareness and Perception of Taxpayers towards Goods and Service Tax (GST) Implementation. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. 75-93.
6. Padmashree D. Y. (2010). Impact of Sales Tax, Vat & GST on the Profitability of Organizations. Patil University, Department of Business Management, Navi Mumbai. June 2010.
7. Rametse N., & Pope, J., (2012). Start up tax compliance cost of GST: Empirical evidence from Western Australian Small Businesses, Article in Australian Tax Forum, 408-441.
8. Singhvi, S. One nation one tax-Challenges Ahead. *Inspira-journal of Modern Management & entrepreneurship*. 2017;7(4):233-236.
9. Spiro, S, P. Evidence of a Post-GST increase in the underground economy. *Canadian Tax Journal/Revue Fiscale Canadienne*. 1993;41(2):247-258.
10. Zainol Anwar, F. & Soon, T. (2016). GST: The impact, opportunities and challenges for Malaysian SMEs and experiences faced by other knowledge-based economy.
11. Chouhan, V. (2017). Measuring Awareness about Implementation of GST: A Survey of Small Business Owners of Rajasthan. *Pacific Business Review International*. 2017;9(8):116-125.